

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,328	01/25/2005	Gopi Kumar Bulusu	DAD-0012	9213
23353 RADER FISH	7590 06/30/2008 MAN & GRAUER PLL	EXAMINER		
LION BUILD	ING	COLUCCI, MICHAEL C		
WASHINGTO	TREET N.W., SUITE 50 ON, DC 20036	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/522,328	BULUSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL C. COLUCCI	2626		

	MICHAEL C. COLUCCI	2626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) A Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS Form the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FIRNAL REJECTION. See MPEP 768.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hand 57 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filler may reduce any amend patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7040 in								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extern Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);						
appeal; and/or  (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04.00		OTOL 004)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling to non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, as claim 1 recites

"equivalent grammar production rules of each grammar G1-Gn are combined into a single unified production rule" and

"obtaining a set of the grammar production rules from the united grammar specification UG, which contain the current non-terminal symbol as their target non-terminal" and

"for each unified grammar production rule P in the set of the grammar production rules obtained from the previous step (v)"